

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,319		12/17/2003	Patrick M. Bailey	LENX-0002	7917
27964	7590	05/16/2005		EXAMINER	
HITT GAI	NES P.C		NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM		
P.O. BOX 8	32570				
RICHARDSON, TX 75083				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2858	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N'b
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/738,319	BAILEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff Natalini	2858	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal mat		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-26</u> is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2004 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	· •
		·	
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)	
 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on April 28, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neeb (US 6441637).

In regard to claim 1, Neeb discloses a module comprising a dielectric body (fig 1 (22) is a computer (col 3 line 27-31) and computers are known to have a plastic-(dielectric) cover) having interconnected terminal sets corresponding to components connectable thereacross (fig 1, 22 is connected through multiple devices under test); and continuity indicator circuits associated with some of said terminals sets and configured to indicated continuity faults with respect to connected components (abstract).

In regard to claim 2, Neeb discloses wherein at least some of said terminal sets are interconnected in series (terminal sets are the connections of each of the corresponding PTCs and DUTs to the power supply are connected in series- they have one node in common connected to the power supply).

In regard to claim 6, Neeb discloses output terminal sets and voltage indicator circuits associated therewith and configured to indicate an operation of corresponding ones of said components (abstract last two sentences, the output terminal set (output of power supply) is determined for each of the corresponding devices).

Page 3

In regard to claim 7, Neeb discloses wherein terminal sets remain functional upon a failure of any of said continuity indicator circuits (Neeb does not specifically state this, but it is disclosed (in fig 1) the continuity test circuitry is connected to the terminal sets which connect the devices to the power supply, if the continuity test fails, the devices would still be connected to the power supply and would operate normally).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neeb (US 6441637) in view of Verkhowskly (US 6075448).

Neeb lacks wherein the continuity indicator circuits include light-emitting diodes (LEDs) and wherein the impedance is based on illuminating associated LEDs.

Verkhowskly teaches continuity circuits including LEDs and wherein the impedance is based on illuminating the LEDs (col 1 line 36 – col 2 line 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/738,319 Page 4

Art Unit: 2858

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Neeb to include LEDs wherein the impedance of the continuity circuit is based on illuminating the LEDs as taught by Verkhowskly in order to distinguish between resistances between 100 – 1000 ohm (col 1 line 62-64), so you could have a more important device have a brighter LED if it fails, to gain the attention of a user.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neeb (US 6441637) in view of Collier et al. (6323652).

Neeb discloses a power supply (fig 1 (16)).

Neeb lacks wherein an AC Power In terminal set is associated with a voltage indicator circuit and configured to indicate a presence of a voltage thereacross.

Collier et al. discloses wherein an AC Power In terminal set (provided by an outlet) associated with a voltage indicator circuit and configured to indicate a presence of a voltage thereacross (col 8 line 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Neeb to have an AC power in terminal set associated with a voltage indicator circuit as taught by Collier et al. in order to indicate that the level of the power supply is adequate (col 8 line 2-3).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/738,319

Art Unit: 2858

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Cooley et al. (4799019) teaches a continuity tester for

refrigeration equipment, contains a indication lamp for indicating faults. Tury et al.

(6373255) teaches a continuity tester for multiple lighting systems has a variety of LEDs

Page 5

to provide information to the user. Stanford et al. (6496015) teaches a continuity tester

with multiple wires being tested. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Natalini whose telephone

number is 571-272-2266. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeff Natalini

ANJAN DEB